Emulsion Task Force (ETF) Updating AASHTO's Emulsion Specifications

> 2014 RMWPPP Meeting October 8, 2014

Introduction

- ETF created a special working group (SWG) to provide overall coordination and quality assurance of products delivered.
- SWG Members:
 - Brian Cox, Flint Hills Resources
 - Bob Jerman, MeadWestVaco
 - Arlis Kadrmas, BASF
 - Gayle King, GHK
 - Chris Lubbers, Kraton
 - Mike Voth, Federal Lands-FHWA



AASHTO Specs Needing Update

- Current AASHTO Emulsified Asphalt Specifications-
 - AASHTO M 140 Emulsified Asphalt
 - AASHTO M 208 Cationic Emulsified Asphalt
 - AASHTO M 316 Polymer-Modified Cationic Asphalt





Concerns & Issues

- The three specifications (M 140, M 208, M 316) are not consistent
- Some test methods in the specs were either duplicative, not commonly used, and/or no longer relevant
- Desire to make the 3 specifications compatible with the new chip seal and micro surfacing specifications

Concerns & Issues

- Desire to match current state of practice
- Desire to provide more uniformity
- "one size fits all" specification (provide more grade options)

The effort is a **stopgap** measure to provide coverage until a performance grading system for emulsified asphalt is developed and adopted.

Table 1—Requirements for Emulsified Asphalt^a

Туре							Rapid	l-Setting						
Grade	RS	RS-1h RS-1		RS-1s		RS	RS-2h		RS-2		RS-2s		RS-2	
	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
Test on emulsified asphalt:														
Viscosity, Saybolt Furol at 25°C (77°F), s ^b	20	100	20	100	20	100								
Viscosity, Saybolt Furol at 50°C (122°F), s ^b							75	400	75	400	75	400	75	400
Storage stability test, 24 h, % ^{b,c}		1		1		1		1		1		1		1
Demulsibility, 35 mL, 0.02 N CaCl _s , % ^b	60		60		60		60		60		60		50	
Sieve test, % ^{b, c}		0.10		0.10		0.10		0.10		0.10		0.10		0.10
Distillation:														
Residue, %	55		55		55		65		65		65		65	
Tests on residue from distillation:														
Penetration, 25°C (77°F), 100 g, 5 s, dmm	40	90	90	150	150	250	40	90	90	150	150	250	100	250
Ductility, 25°C (77°F), 5 cm/min, cm	40		40		40		40		40		40		40	
Ash content, %		1		1		1		1		1		1		1
Float test, 60°C (140°F) s													1200	

^{*a*} Refer to R 5 for typical applications.

^b This test requirement and associated specification limit is waived for emulsified asphalt products following dilution.



Climate Differences



- M 140 was re-written as a "category A" standard.
- It is written to be consistent and logical with M 208 & M 316.
- Targets and ranges for viscosity, percent residue, and penetration were updated to match current state of practice.

Table 1—Requirements for Cationic Emulsified Asphalt^a

Туре	Rapid-Setting											
Grade	CRS-1h		CRS-1		CRS-1s		CRS-2h		CRS-2		CR	S-2s
	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
Test on emulsified asphalt:												
Viscosity, Saybolt Furol at 50°C (122°F), s ^b	20	100	20	100	20	100	100	400	100	400	100	400
Storage stability test, 24 h, % ^{b,c}		1		1		1		1		1		1
Demulsibility, 35 mL, 0.8 %												
Sodium dioctyl sulfosucinate, % ^b	40		40		40		40		40		40	
Particle charge test ^b	Pos	sitive	Posi	tive	Posit	tive	Posi	itive	Posit	ive	Posi	tive
Sieve test, % ^{b, c}		0.10		0.10		0.10		0.10		0.10		0.10
Distillation:												
Oil distillate, by volume of emulsified		3		3		3		3		3		3
asphalt, %												
Residue, %	60		60		60		65		65		65	
Tests on residue from distillation:												
Penetration, 25°C (77°F), 100 g, 5 s, dmm	40	90	90	150	150	250	40	90	90	150	150	250
Ductility, 25°C (77°F), 5 cm/min, cm	40		40		40		40		40		40	
Ash content, %		1		1		1		1		1		1

^{*a*} Refer to R 5 for typical applications.

^b This test requirement and associated specification limit is waived for emulsified asphalt products following dilution.

- Editorial changes completed to align the specification language with M 140 & M 316.
- Targets and ranges for percent residue and penetration were updated to match state of practice



Table 1—Requirements for Polymer-Modified Emulsified Asphalt Typically Used for Chip Seal Applications^a

Туре	Rapid-Setting											
Grade	CRS-2hP		CR	RS-2P CR		S-2sP	CHFRS-2P		HFMS-2P		HFRS-2P	
	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
st on emulsified asphalt:												
iscosity, Saybolt Furol at 50°C (122°F), s ^b	100	400	100	400	100	400	100	400	100	450	75	400
torage stability test, 24 h, % ^{b,c}		1		1		1		1		1		1
emulsibility:												
35 mL, 0.8% Sodium dioctyl sulfosucinate, % $^{\rm b}$	40		40		40		40					
35 mL, 0.02 <i>N</i> CaCl _s , % ^b											50	
50 mL, 0.10 N CaCl _s , % ^b									40			
rticle charge test	Pos	itive	Posit	tive	Posi	itive	Pos	itive				
ieve test, % ^{b, c}		0.10		0.10		0.10		0.10		0.10		0.10
istillation:												
)il distillate, by volume of emulsified asphalt, %										3		3
tesidue, %	65		65		65		65		65		65	
ests on residue from distillation:												
Penetration, 25°C (77°F), 100 g, 5 s, dmm	40	90	90	150	150	250	100	175	100	200	100	200
Elastic Recovery, 10°C (50°F), Straight Sided, 5 cm/min, 20 cm elongation, 5 min hold, %	50		60		60		60		60		60	
Float test, 60° C (140°F), s							1800		1200		1200	
Ash content, %		1		1		1		1		1		1

^{*a*} Refer to R 5 for typical applications.

^b This test requirement and associated specification limit is waived for emulsified asphalt products following dilution.

Table 2—Requirements for Polymer-Modified Emulsified Asphalt Typically Used for Micro Surfacing^a

Туре	Quick-Setting						
Grade	CQS	S-1hP	CQ.	S-1P			
	Min	Max	Min	Max			
Test on emulsified asphalt:							
Viscosity, Saybolt Furol at 25°C (77°F), s ^b	20	100	20	100			
Particle charge test ^b	Pos	sitive	Posi	tive			
Sieve test, % ^{b,c}		0.10		0.10			
Distillation:							
Residue, %	62		62				
Tests on residue from distillation:							
Penetration, 25°C (77°F), 100 g, 5 s, dmm	40	90	90	200			
Elastic Recovery, 10°C (50°F), Straight Sided, 5 cm/min, 20 cm elongation, 5 min hold, %	50		60				
Softening point, °F	135		128				
Ash content, %		1		1			



^{*a*} Refer to R 5 for typical applications.

^b This test requirement and associated specification limit is waived for emulsified asphalt products following dilution.

Table 3—Requirements for Polymer-Modified Emulsified Asphalt Typically Used for Tack Coat Applications^a

Туре	Rapid	-Setting	Slow-Setting				
Grade	CRS	-1P ^d	SS-	lhP	CSS-1hP		
	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	
Test on emulsified asphalt:							
Viscosity, Saybolt Furol at 25°C (77°F), s ^b	20	100	20	100	20	100	
Storage stability test, 24 h, % ^{b, c}		1		1		1	
Particle charge test ^b	Posit	ive			Positive		
Sieve test, % ^{b,c}		0.10		0.10		0.10	
Cement mixing test, % ^b				2.0		2.0	
Demulsibility:							
35 mL, 0.8% Sodium dioctyl sulfosucinate, % $^{\rm b}$	40						
Residue, %	63		57		57		
Tests on residue from distillation:							
Penetration, 25°C (77°F), 100 g, 5 s, dmm	90	150	40	90	40	90	
Elastic Recovery, 10°C (50°F), Straight Sided, 5 cm/min, 20 cm elongation, 5 min hold, %	60		25		25		
Ash content, %		1		1		1	

^{*a*} Refer to R 5 for typical applications.

^b This test requirement and associated specification limit is waived for emulsified asphalt products following dilution.

^c This test requirement on representative samples may be waived if successful application of the material has been achieved in the field.

^{*d*} This grade is for spray paver applications.

- Title changed to "Polymer-Modified Emulsified Asphalt" (no longer just for cationics)
- The specification grades are neutral to the type of modifier being used
 - The "P" is used to designate all polymer modifiers regardless of type
- Consistent and logical with M 140 & M 208

- T 51 (ductility), T 300 (force ductility), and T 302 (polymer content by infrared spectrum) were removed
 - Proposed that the presence of polymer be evaluated by T 301 (elastic recovery)
 - T 51 and T 300 are somewhat duplicative with T 301
- A certificate of compliance is required to certify minimum polymer dosages

Additional Proposed Global Changes

- Solubility in trichloroethylene (TCE), T 44 was removed and replaced with the ash content test, T 144
 - TCE is toxic and restricted in some areas
- Coating test (as found in T 59) was removed
 - It is believed the state of practice has moved beyond this test. When evaluating cold mixes, most agencies use mix designs with performance parameters included.

Summary

Fixed errors

- Provided more consistency
- Updated to address state of practice
- Added additional grades (climate, traffic)
- Eliminated unnecessary testing procedures

Just a stopgap...greater things to come

Thank You.....Questions?

